

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

MULTIMEDIA TECHNOLOGIES PTE.
LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.

LG ELECTRONICS INC. and LG
ELECTRONICS USA, INC.,

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§


Case No. 2:22-cv-00494-JRG-RSP

ORDER

LG Electronics Inc. and LG Electronics USA, Inc. previously filed a Motion for Summary Judgment of No Direct Infringement. Dkt. No. 125. Magistrate Judge Payne entered a Report and Recommendation, recommending grant in part of LG's Motion for Summary Judgment of No Direct Infringement. Dkt. No. 239. Because no objections have been filed and because of the reasons set forth in the Report and Recommendation, the Recommendation is **ADOPTED**. It is therefore **ORDERED** that the Motion for Summary Judgment of No Direct Infringement (Dkt. No. 125) is **GRANTED** as to the asserted method claims, and otherwise **DENIED**.

So Ordered this

Mar 2, 2025



RODNEY GILSTRAP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE